
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5875

State of Washington 54th Legislature 1995 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Ecology & Parks (originally sponsored by Senators Fraser and Fairley)

Read first time 03/01/95.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to wetlands mitigation banks; adding a new chapter
- 2 to Title 90 RCW; and creating a new section.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 Sec. 1. LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS AND PURPOSE. NEW SECTION. The 5 legislature finds that wetlands compensatory mitigation banks may provide an important alternative, allowing projects that 6 wetlands to be approved while ensuring that mitigation for such impacts are achieved. The reform of wetland regulatory programs in part 8 requires greater coordination of agencies with overlapping regulatory 9 10 responsibilities, and consistent procedures and methods for using wetland bank "credits" as compensatory mitigation for wetlands impacts 11 12 are needed. This will provide greater certainty to project applicants 13 and timely decisions on permit applications. Compensatory mitigation 14 achieved through participation in larger wetlands creation and 15 restoration areas as part of mitigation "banks" generally provide 16 greater assurance of long-term viability of the created or restored 17 wetlands than smaller projects required as mitigation for an individual 18 development project. Public entities, because of their increased 19 accountability, permanent nature, and long-range planning abilities,

p. 1 ESSB 5875

- 1 are particularly likely to successfully use compensatory mitigation to
- 2 benefit overall wetlands values while planning for necessary public
- 3 facilities.
- 4 For these reasons it is the purpose of this chapter to provide an
- 5 optional procedure for governmental entities operating a wetland
- 6 mitigation bank or proposing to do so, by which the entity may request
- 7 the development of an interagency agreement among wetland regulatory
- 8 agencies. The agreement would provide coordinated procedures and
- 9 methods for use of such a wetland mitigation bank in regulatory review
- 10 of public projects by the regulatory agencies entering the interagency
- 11 agreement.
- 12 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS. (1) Beginning
- 13 January 1, 1996, at the request of a governmental entity operating or
- 14 proposing to operate a wetland compensatory mitigation bank, the
- 15 department of ecology shall initiate discussions for the purpose of
- 16 developing an interagency agreement among regulatory agencies
- 17 consistent with this chapter.
- 18 (2) Prior to initiating discussions under subsection (1) of this
- 19 section, the entity shall demonstrate that the bank or proposed bank
- 20 meets the following minimum criteria:
- 21 (a) The wetland area included or proposed to be included in the
- 22 bank has been or will be artificially created, or is or will be the
- 23 restoration of degraded wetlands, and the biological and hydrological
- 24 function of the wetlands are or will be typical of natural wetlands of
- 25 that type;
- 26 (b) There is at least a twenty-year plan for the care and
- 27 maintenance of the area to ensure its long-term viability, including
- 28 provision for financing necessary repairs or restoration;
- 29 (c) The wetland area is or will be dedicated to wetland
- 30 conservation purposes in perpetuity by binding limitations on the title
- 31 to the real property; and
- 32 (d) Provision has been made for monitoring the wetland area's
- 33 functional performance and for periodically reporting this information
- 34 to the department of ecology.
- 35 (3) Upon receiving the request the department of ecology shall
- 36 initiate discussions with the following government agencies, with the
- 37 objective of entering an interagency agreement for review of project

1 proposals that include proposals to use the bank as wetlands 2 compensatory mitigation:

3 (a) The general purpose local government within which the bank area 4 lies;

5

6 7

8

9

14

15

- (b) Other general purpose local governments with jurisdiction over areas within seventy-five miles of the bank area that contain substantial wetland areas for which compensatory mitigation in future projects by public agencies may be proposed;
 - (c) Other state agencies with wetland regulatory jurisdiction; and
- 10 (d) The appropriate regional offices of federal agencies with 11 wetland regulatory jurisdiction, including at a minimum the United 12 States environmental protection agency and the United States army corps 13 of engineers.
 - (4) The department of ecology and other agencies involved in the discussions should address the following in the interagency agreement:
- 16 (a) Methods for uniformly measuring the credits and debits to
 17 wetland bank areas as part of a project's compensatory mitigation,
 18 including how and when such credits and debits are created;
- 19 (b) Methods for consistent delineation of wetland boundaries and 20 measurement of wetland functional values, provided that no agency shall 21 develop or implement a wetland program that uses a delineation process 22 which is more stringent than that adopted by the United States army 23 corps of engineers;
- (c) Uniform guidelines for acreage ratios and other methods to compensate for the uncertainty of long-term success in the artificial creation and restoration of wetlands;
- (d) Guidelines for required proximity of the bank area to serve as compensatory mitigation to the project site where wetlands impacts will occur; and
- (e) Procedures to achieve integrated review by multiple regulatory agencies of projects proposing the use of bank credits as compensatory mitigation.
- 33 (5) The state agencies and local governments included in the 34 discussions shall use their best efforts to enter an interagency 35 agreement within one year of the initiation of discussions. The 36 department of ecology shall solicit the participation of appropriate 37 federal agencies with wetland regulatory jurisdiction.

p. 3 ESSB 5875

- 1 (6) The agreement shall not limit a project applicant's choice of 2 compensatory mitigation alternatives to the use of a bank addressed in 3 the agreement.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. NOT AFFECT EXISTING BANKS. Nothing in this 4 chapter shall affect wetland mitigation banks in existence on the 5 effective date of this act or affect any project approved and 6 7 satisfying compensatory mitigation requirements through the use of such The wetlands mitigation bank created by the Washington 8 9 department of transportation through interagency memorandum of agreement dated September 15, 1994, is declared a recognized 10 interagency agreement under section 2 of this act. 11
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. PROGRESS REPORT. The department of ecology shall provide a report to the appropriate standing committees of the legislature by December 1st of each even-numbered year that includes:
- 15 (1) A description of publicly operated wetland banks for which an 16 interagency agreement under section 2 of this act was requested;
- 17 (2) A description of other mitigation banks in operation within the 18 state;
- 19 (3) A description of the interagency agreements entered under 20 section 3 of this act;
- 21 (4) A description of the projects for which wetland bank credits 22 were used for compensatory purposes, including a description of the 23 wetland impacts for which compensation was required; and
- (5) Recommendations for administrative, budgetary, and legislative changes necessary to make the wetland bank provisions of this chapter operate more effectively, and recommendations to expand this pilot program to compensatory mitigation banks that are privately owned or operated.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. CODIFICATION DIRECTION. Sections 1 through 4 of this act shall constitute a new chapter in Title 90 RCW.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. CAPTIONS NOT LAW. Captions as used in this act constitute no part of the law.

--- END ---